Patrick Craighead

16,

3010 Deland Road Waterford Michigan 48329 USA Home Phone 248 674-3836 Email patrickcraighead@comcast net

November 29, 2002

## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Office oithe Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street S W Washington, D.C. 20554

Regarding CG Docket No 02-278

I read in our local newspaper that the FCC would like to hear from the Anierican people what we think about telemarketers. I'm not sure who is responsible for starting this intrusive advertising scheme, but I do not agree with this method Tor the following reasons:

- I I pay for my pholic service for personal use only, paying extra lor a unlisted number has no effect on telemarketers contacting you.
- 2 Blocking Telemarketers cost you extra, buying gizmos and services that will eventually be defeated by these rude solicitors is unfair to the consumer.
- 3 I work a midnight shift therefore the current allowable calling rimes 8:00a.m. to 9:00p.m. fall into my rest lime. This means I have to disconifice my phone when I want to get an undisturbed sleep. My family knows not to call during the day, but if their was an emergency they wouldn't be able to contact it. \_\_\_ use I've been forced to unplug my phone
- 4. People that are older, underage or mentally challenged are victims of telemarketing, these people need superission from trusted family inembers or friends when making purchases.

5 STOP TELEMARKETING COMPLETELY. MY PRIVACY IS BEING VIOLATED.

Sincerely

Faturel Cearfurd Patrick Craighcad

Confirmed

Distribution Center

## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

November **20,2002** 

FCC 445 12<sup>th</sup> St

Wastungton. DC 20554

This letter is to express concerns of a proposed rule change for a national 'do not call" list ! believe that consumers should be made aware of their rights under the current laws

1,12.

I believe that preemphon is necessary to make clear one set of rules, that all companies can adhere to By doing this the consumer will still not receive unwanted telemarketing calls. It will also give legitimate businesses one set of guidelines to follow, vs each state having unique and specific regulations.

I hope the commission will realize the needs of **the** consumer and set national regulations that businesses can comply with Preemption of the proposed rule is necessary to guarantee there is no confusion by consumers and businesses alike, because of states different policies

Sincerely.

Larry Casebere PO Box 11488

Fort Wayne. IN 46858

02.278

Confirmed

DEC 1 1 2002

Distribution Center

nu di Copie 1900

## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

November 20 2002

FCC 445 12<sup>th</sup> St

Washington. DC 20554

This letter is to express concerns of a proposed rule change for a national "do not call" list. I believe that consumers should be made aware of theu nghrs under the current laws

I believe that preemption is necessary to make clear one set of rules, that all companies can adhere to By doing this the consumer will still not receive unwanted telemarketing calls. It will also give legitimate business's one set of guidelines to follow, vs. each state having unique and specific regulations.

I hope the commission will realize the needs of the consumer and set national regulations that business's can comply with Preemption of the proposed rule is necessary to guarantee there is no confusion by consumers and business's alike, because of states different policies.

Sincerely

4835 Fallbrook Ln Bldg. 20

Fort Wayne, IN 46835

112 278

ಿonfirmed

TF" 200?

Distriction Center

HEX NET FRE OURY OF IGINE

November 12, 2002

FCC 445 I2 Th. Street Washington ,DC 20554

Distribution Cente

02 278

This letter is to provide comment on a proposed rule change for a national do not call list. I am in full support to ensure consumers are aware of their rights under current law and aware of the protections

After careful reasoning I have came to the conclusion that preemption is necessary to establish one set of rules that a legitimate business can follow. By doing this the consumer will thwart unwanted telemarketing calls and a business will have one set of guidelines to follow vs. the complexities of multiple states with multiple rules.

I urge the commission to take into consideration the balance of consumers needs and the practical reasoning of a business to adhere to the guidelines. It is my conclusion premtion of the proposed rule is necessary to ensure that there is no confusion of the differing guidelines set forth by the different

Thank you

Jansen R. Bence Good Gordeshead Indianopolis, In 40220

P.03

## DOCKET FILE COPY OFIGINAL

November 20, 2002

FCC 445 12<sup>th</sup> St

Washington, DC 20554



This letter is to express concerns of a proposed rule change for a national "do not call" list. I believe that consumers should be made aware of theu rights under the current laws.

I believe that preemption is necessary to make clear one set of rules, that all companies can adhere to By doing this the consumer Will still not receive unwanted telemarketing calls. It will also give legitimate business's one set of guidelines to follow, vs. each state having unique and specific regulations.

I hope the commission Will realize the needs of the consumer and set national regulations that business's can comply with. Preemption of the proposed rule is necessary to guarantee there is no confusion by consumers and business's alike, because of states different policies

Sincerely,

Tom Meiser 8532 Manor Dr

Fort Wayne. IN 46825

62 228

Confirmed

DEC 1 - 2002

Distribution Cente

November 14, 2002

FCC 445 Twelfth Street Washington, DC 20544



lam in favor of legislation regarding the national telemarketing bill (CG 02-278)

In time this will clean up telemarketing only people and companies with the best interest of tlic business and homeowners they are calling will be allowed to. I look forward to the day telemarketing will he done with honor, honesty, inegrity.

Sinceroly3

Roosevelt Harris

Mary Corner made C

Confirmed

DEC 1 1 2002

Distribution Center